

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/443,793	Applicant(s) ALBRECHT, DAVID E.	
	Examiner Alison K. Pickard	Art Unit 3673	

All Participants:

(1) Alison K. Pickard.

(2) William Eilberg.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

28-35

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Applicant to discuss some possible amendments to put the case in condition for allowance, which were approved. However, the examiner discovered an embodiment (see Fig. 28 of Breaker) that still read on the proposed amendments. The examiner called again (on 3/17) to notify Applicant that an action would be sent out and the proposed changes would not be entered.